

THE 2026 OFFSHORE ENGINEERING COMPARISON

WHY VIETNAM WINS FOR SOFTWARE ENGINEERING IN 2026

The honest comparison against India and the Philippines — and why, for most outsourced software teams, Vietnam is the smoother choice.

Three countries dominate every offshore software conversation: Vietnam, India, and the Philippines. Vendors selling against Vietnam love to point out its English score. What they rarely mention is who they're comparing it to — and what actually determines the cost of an offshore team over three years. Here is the case, on the numbers.

THE ONE NUMBER THAT DECIDES TOTAL COST: RETENTION

Segment	Vietnam	India	Philippines
Software/IT attrition (annual)	~5-18%	~20-30%	~15-25% (office-based)

Vietnam's attrition isn't just the lowest of the three — it's the most consistent across independent sources, which matters when you're building a business case on it.

What that means in dollars. Replacing an engineer costs 3-6 months of salary once you count recruiting, onboarding, and ramp-up. Model a 40-person team over three years:

- Vietnam at 12%: ~15 engineers replaced → ~60 months of salary in churn cost
- India at 28%: ~34 engineers replaced → ~136 months of salary in churn cost

On a team averaging \$2,500/month fully loaded, that's roughly \$150,000 vs. \$340,000 — a \$190,000 swing on rate cards that differ by pennies an hour. And the dollar figure understates it: a team that turns over 30% a year never stops being partly new. Domain knowledge — why the schema is shaped that way, which integration is fragile — walks out the door with every departure. A low-churn Vietnamese team compounds that knowledge instead of losing it.

THE ENGLISH OBJECTION — AIMED AT THE WRONG COUNTRY

	Score (EF EPI 2025)	Band	Global Rank (of 123)
Philippines	569	High	28
Vietnam	500	Moderate	64
India	484	Low	74

The Philippines' lead is real, and for live voice work it's decisive — nothing here disputes that. But the standard “Vietnam has an English gap” narrative almost never prints India's score next to it. Vietnam sits 16 points and ten places above India — the market Western companies have offshored software engineering to for two decades without a second thought. If English proficiency disqualifies Vietnam for engineering work, consistency demands ruling out India first. It rarely does.

The reason this works in practice: software engineering communication is written and asynchronous — tickets, PR comments, specs, sprint demos — not a live phone call. The failure mode is unclear requirements, not a bad accent, and that's solved with structure any competent vendor should already run: a fluent technical lead owning requirements clarification, written acceptance criteria on every story, and demo-driven sprint reviews.

VIETNAM IS NOT THE BUDGET OPTION – IT’S A SKILL PLAY

Rates sit in the same band as the other two: Vietnam runs roughly \$27–45/hr against \$25–40/hr in the Philippines and a similar range in India — noise, not a decision driver.

What Vietnam actually offers is quality: Vietnamese developers have ranked as high as 23rd worldwide on HackerRank's Developer Skills Index, ahead of markets with far larger populations and louder marketing. The sector is growing ~30% annually, and the graduate pipeline — 55,000–60,000 new technical grads a year from a base of ~550,000 developers — skews toward modern stacks: cloud-native, mobile-first, product engineering, rather than legacy maintenance.

Vietnam is also Japan's second-largest software outsourcing partner, a relationship that forced a level of documentation rigor and defect discipline most Western clients never think to ask for but benefit from immediately.

THE AUSTRALIA ADVANTAGE

Hub	Offset from AEST	Practical Effect
Vietnam (GMT+7)	3–4 hours	Full same-day overlap through the afternoon
Philippines (GMT+8)	2–3 hours	Comparable overlap
India (GMT+5:30)	4.5–5.5 hours	Morning questions often land as next-day answers

For Australian and New Zealand teams, Vietnam offers a genuine same-day working window — a question raised at 9am Melbourne time gets answered the same afternoon.

WHERE VIETNAM IS THE HONEST ANSWER, AND WHERE IT ISN'T

- A vendor who won't say this plainly isn't being straight with you:
- Choose the Philippines for live consumer voice work in English — call centers, real-time chat support. Not close, and it shouldn't be argued.
 - Choose India for enterprise programmes needing 300+ engineers or deep legacy/mainframe systems — Vietnam's ~550,000-developer pool is about a tenth of India's, and its pipeline is cloud-native, not COBOL.
 - Choose Vietnam for everything in between: product builds, dedicated engineering teams, SaaS and e-commerce platforms, AI/data work, QA, and precision back-office — where continuity and modern-stack skill matter more than raw headcount elasticity.

THE BOTTOM LINE

Vietnam isn't the cheapest offshore market, and no honest vendor sells it that way. What it offers is a different shape of value: the lowest and most consistent attrition of the three major hubs, engineering skill that benchmarks in the global top 25, a modern-stack specialization built for how software is actually built today, a same-day working window for Australian clients, and a risk profile uncorrelated with India's wage inflation or the Philippines' typhoon exposure.

Strip away the marketing on all three sides and the decision comes down to one question: what are you actually building? A call center needs the Philippines. A 500-engineer legacy migration needs India. Everything in between — the product build, the dedicated team, the platform meant to last — is Vietnam's territory, and in 2026 it is no longer the alternative choice. It is the default one.